表八、花蓮地區 2004 年二期作至 2006 年一期作主要栽培品種其白米品質之比較

Table 8. Comparison on palatability value and protein content of rice of major cultivars in Hualien from 2004 to 2006.

Items	Year / Crop	Varieties			
		KH139*	TK2	TK4	TK16
Palatability value	2004 II	71.76[a**]	71.20[a]	73.42[a]	74.00[a]
	2005 I	77.62[a]	76.37[a]	76.22[a]	78.16[a]
	2005 II	72.83[a]	69.22[b]	73.33[a]	71.38[ab]
	2006 I	71.75[ab]	68.38[bc]	64.80[c]	73.14[a]
Protein content （%）	2004 II	6.11[a]	6.31[a]	5.85[a]	5.77[a]
	2005 I	4.92[a]	4.95[a]	4.95[a]	4.65[a]
	2005 II	5.86[b]	6.66[a]	5.92[b]	6.20[ab]
	2006 I	5.81[bc]	6.52[ab]	7.14[a]	5.56[c]

*KH=Kaohsiung, TK=Taikeng

**Means with the same letter between data at the same row are not significant at 5% levels by the least significance difference test.

表九、花蓮地區 2004 年二期作至 2006 年一期作主要栽培品種其產量之比較

Table 9. Comparison on the yield of major cultivars in Hualien from 2004 to 2006.

Year/ Crop	Variety			
	KH139*	TK2	TK4	TK16
2004 II	5448 [b**]	5319 [b]	6214 [a]	5178 [b]
2005 I	6315 [a]	5265 [b]	6114 [a]	6382 [a]
2005 II	5229 [a]	5270 [a]	4404 [a]	5243 [a]
2006 I	5142 [a]	5469 [a]	5597 [a]	5339 [a]

*KH = Kaohsiung, TK = Taikeng

**Means with the same letter between data at the same row are not significant at 5% levels by the least significance difference test.